







RAHMAN Sohanur

8th EMship cycle: October 2017 – February 2019

Master Thesis

Investigation of Hull Strength of River-Sea Container Vessel

Supervisor:Prof. Maciej Taczała, West Pomeranian University of Technology, Szczecin. Dr. Nzengu Wa Nzengu, Bureau Veritas, DNI, Antwerp, Belgium.

Reviewer: Prof. Rigo Philippe, University of Liege, Belgium.

Hamburg, February 2019















- > Research objectives
- > Main particulars of the investigated vessel
- Design loads
- Reference vessel structural analysis- without torsion
- \succ Reference vessel structural analysis- with torsion
- Investigation of combined bending and torsion effects- influence of structural configuration
- ➤ Conclusions

Research objectives

To investigate the structural strength (in service condition)

and the torsional strength and hull girder deflection of the

river-sea container vessel.

Research objectives

> Main particulars of the investigated vessel

Design loads

- Reference vessel structural analysis- without torsion
- \succ Reference vessel structural analysis- with torsion
- Investigation of combined bending and torsion effects- influence of structural configuration

➤ Conclusions

Main particulars of the investigated vessel



Midship section

Vessel Particulars: Length overall: 134.05m Length between perpendicular: 131.55m Breadth: 14.5m Depth: 5.7m Draught: 3.6m Range of Navigation: IN (1.7) Loading sequence: 2R (2 runs) Propulsion: Self propelled Hull Material: Steel Material Properties: Yield Strength= 235 MPa (Mild steel-Grade A) Yield Strength=355 MPa (High tensile steel-Grade AH36-for hatch coaming & DH36-for deck structures)

Research objectives

Main particulars of the investigated vessel

Design loads

- ≻ Reference vessel structural analysis- without torsion
- ≻ Reference vessel structural analysis- with torsion
- Investigation of combined bending and torsion effects- influence of structural configuration
- ➤ Conclusions

Design loads

	Hogging (KN.m)	Sagging (KN.m)	
Design S.W.B.MNavigation condition	81873	-62046	
Design S.W.B.MHarbour condition	92431	-94028	Rule based
Design vertical wave bending moment	104985	-104985	
Design horizontal wave bending moment	49176	49176	

Rule based design bending moment

	Full load condition (Sagging)	Ballast load condition (Hogging)		
Still water bending moment (KN.m)	-14419	71563		Still wat
		-	-	f1'

	Long term response (Single amplitude) (KN.m)			
	Full load condition Ballast load condition			
Vertical wave bending moment	-85400	77300		
Horizontal wave bending moment	0	0		
Torsional wave bending moment	0	0		

	Long term response (Single amplitude) (KN.m)			
	Full load condition Ballast load condition			
Vertical wave bending moment	-34160	33930		
Horizontal wave bending moment	49640	28345		
Torsional wave bending moment	14505	7520		

Still water bending moment from direct calculation

Wave bending moment for upright loadcase from direct calculation

Wave bending moment for inclined loadcase from direct calculation

RAHMAN Sohanur, 8th EMship Cycle: 2017-2019

Design loads

		Upright loadcase	
Loading condition		Rule based value	Direct calculation
Fullload	Total vertical bending moment (KN.m)	-137503	-75800
Full loau	Horizontal wave bending moment (KN.m)	0	0
contaition	Torsional bending moment(KN.m)	0	0
Ballast load	Total vertical bending moment(KN.m)	157330	127122
DallaSt IUdu	Horizontal wave bending moment(KN.m)	0	0
condition	Torsional bending moment(KN.m)	0	0

Comparison between rule based value and direct calculation (Upright loadcase)

		Incline loadcase		
Loading condition		Rule based value	Direct calculation	
	Total vertical bending moment (KN.m)	-92229	-38971	
Full load	Horizontal wave bending moment (KN.m)	49176	49640	
condition		4553(only still		
	Torsional bending moment(KN.m)	water torsional	19058	
		moment)		
	Total vertical bending moment(KN.m)	112056	95950	
Ballast load	Horizontal wave bending moment(KN.m)	49176	28345	
condition		4553(only still		
	Torsional bending moment(KN.m)	water torsional	12073	
		moment)		

Comparison between rule based value and direct calculation (Inclined loadcase)

Research objectives

Main particulars of the investigated vessel

Design loads

> Reference vessel structural analysis- without torsion

- ≻ Reference vessel structural analysis- with torsion
- Investigation of combined bending and torsion effects- influence of structural configuration

➤ Conclusions

Hull girder strength check

Section modulus	Distance from	Rule	Actual	
	baseline (m)			
Bottom (m ³)	0.0	0.52	1.72	Section modulus comparison
Deck (m ³)	5.7	0.37	1.26	
Hatch coaming top (m ³)	7.163	0.37	0.88	

Items	Distance from	Hogging, $\sigma_{\rm H}$	Sagging, σ _s	
	baseline (m)	(N/mm ²)	(N/mm ²)	Hull girder normal stress
Bottom	0.0	86.55	58.03	
Deck	5.7	119.87	80.38	
Hatch coaming top	7.163	169.60	113.72	

Scantling check of plating

	Net thickness			
Plating	Actual thickness (mm)	Rule thickness (mm)		
Bottom	9.5	7		
Inner bottom	11.5	6.5		
Container seating inset plate	14.5	13.5		
Side shell	9.5	6		
Inner side shell	9.5	8.5		
Stringer deck	23.5	5.5		
Shear strake	23.5	17.5		
Side girder at 209 OCL	6.4	<mark>7.9</mark>		
Side girder at 1288, 3848, 6450 OCL	8	7.5		
Hatch coaming	19	13		
Bilge	11.5	7		
ycle: 2017-2019	11 of 32	1		

RAHMAN Sohanur, 8th EMship Cycle: 2017-2019

Scantling check of secondary stiffeners

Ordinary stiffer and	Net 1	thickness	
Ordinary sumeners	Actual thickness (mm)	Minimum thickness (mm)	
Bottom	8	6.1	
Inner bottom	7	5.9	Not this langes of stiffer or web
Inner side shell	7	5.9	Net thickness of sufferer web
Side shell-upper	10	6.5	
Side shell-lower	10	6.5	
Stringer deck	8	5.6	
Hatch coaming	16	6.0	

Ordinary	Shear a	rea (cm²)	Section modulus (cm ³)		
stiffeners	Actual	Rule	Actual	Rule	
Bottom	8.96	1.84	74.88	34.73	
Inner bottom	7.84	1.72	71.33	28.72	
Inner side shell	5.29	1.72	57.38	26.83	
Side shell-upper	11.34	0.95	149.69	16.9	
Side shell-lower	11.34	1.9	136.21	29.66	
Stringer plate	8.96	0.04	84.91	0.37	
Hatch coaming	12.75	0.01	149.05	0.3	

Shear area/ Section modulus (actual v/s required); Net values



RAHMAN Sohanur, 8th EMship Cycle: 2017-2019

Case 1: Cantilever applied with bending moment only

Boundary condition (Cantilever)

Boundary	Transla	Translations in directions			Rotation around axes		
conditions	Х	Y	Z	Х	Y	Z	
Node at aft end	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	
Node at fore end	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	



Rigid element

RAHMAN Sohanur, 8th EMship Cycle: 2017-2019



Comparison of normal stress between MARS inland model and FE model at X=61m (Hogging-Upright condition)



RAHMAN Sohanur, 8th EMship Cycle: 2017-2019

Case 2: Simply supported beam applied with local loads

Boundary condition (Simply supported)

Boundary	Transla	tions in d	irections	Rotation around axes					
conditions	Х	Y	Ζ	Х	Y	Ζ			
Node at aft end	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Free	Fixed			
Node at fore end	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Free	Fixed			

	Location	Fully-loaded (Sagging)					
Local loads	Inner bottom	Container loads (Static + Inertial)					
	Stringer plate	Exposed deck loadsRiver-sea pressure (Static + wave)					
	Bottom						
	Bilge	River-sea pressure (Static + wave)					
	Side shell	River-sea pressure (Static + wave)					

Von mises stress on inner bottom



Von mises stress on side shell



Von mises stress on hatch coaming



Numerical determination of hull girder deflection



Research objectives

Main particulars of the investigated vessel

Design loads

- Reference vessel structural analysis- without torsion
- > Reference vessel structural analysis- with torsion
- Investigation of combined bending and torsion effects- influence of structural configuration

➢ Conclusions

Strength check



Comparison of normal stress due to torsion between MARS 2000 model and FE model (along inner side plate)- Sagging condition

Comparison of normal stress due to torsion between MARS 2000 model and FE model (along bottom plate)-Sagging condition

Influence of different loading conditions



Research objectives

Main particulars of the investigated vessel

Design loads

- Reference vessel structural analysis- without torsion
- Reference vessel structural analysis- with torsion
- Investigation of combined bending and torsion effects- influence of structural configuration
- ➤ Conclusions

Influence of different hull configurations on combined bending and torsion effects



Influence of different hull configurations on combined bending and torsion effects



Research objectives

Main particulars of the investigated vessel

Design loads

- Reference vessel structural analysis- without torsion
- Reference vessel structural analysis- with torsion
- Investigation of combined bending and torsion effects- influence of structural configuration
- > Conclusions

Conclusions

-The hull scantling complies with Bureau Veritas Rules for the classification of inland navigation vessels - NR 217

-The level of stresses in way of critical areas of the cargo hold, determined using finite element analysis, complies with the Rules strength criteria

-The maximum hull girder deflection is 468 mm, remaining within the range of values compatible with the vessel serviceability

-The impact of the warping stresses induced by the torque and the normal stresses due to horizontal bending moment on the hull scantling remains negligible

Investigation of the impact of the structural configuration on the level of warping stresses shows that among different hull configurations considered, highest warping stresses values are found for single hull vessel.

As a recommendation, combined effect of vertical bending, horizontal bending and torsion should be taken into account when analysing hull strength of vessels with hull structural configuration other than double hull, i.e with double bottom and double side, fitted with open deck.

Thank You

Investigation of Hull Strength of River-Sea Container Vessel

Appendix

Scantling check of plating for different hull configurations

	Net thickness(mm)												
		Rule thickness (mm)											
Plating	Actual thikness(mm)	Double hull Open deck	Double hull with torsion box	Single hull	Single hull with torsion box		Single side with torsion box	Double hull- closed deck					
Bottom	9.5	7	7	8.5	8.5	7.5	7.5	7					
Inner bottom	bottom 11.5		5.5	7.5	7.5	7	7	6.5					
Side shell	9.5 6		6	7	7	7	7	6					
Inner side shell	her side shell 9.5		8	-	-	-	-	8.5					
Stringer plate	ger plate 23.5		5.5	7	7	7	7	7					
Shear strake	ar strake 23.5		17	18.5	18	18.5	18	17.5					
Side girder at 209 OCL	10	7.9	7.9	10	10	9.5	9.5	8					
Side girder at 1288,3848,6450OCL	_{CL} 10 7.5		7.5	10	10	9.5	9.5	8					
Bilge	11.5	7	7	8	8	8	8	7					
Hatch coaming	19	13	10.5	12	11.5	12	11.5	-					

Scantling check of secondary stiffeners for different hull configurations

	Net thickness of stiffener web(mm)									Net shear area (cm ²)								
		Rule thickness (mm)										Rule shear area (cm ²)						
Ordinary stiffeners	Actual thikness(mm)	Double hull Open deck	Double hull with torsion Si box	ingle hull	Single hull with torsion box	Single side	Single side with torsion box	Double hull closed deck	I O sti	Ordinary iffeners	Actual shear area(cm ²)	Double hull Open deck	Double hull with torsion box	Single hull	Single hull with torsion box	Single side	Single side with torsion box	Double hull- closed deck
Bottom	8	6.1	6.1	7.2	7.2	6.7	6.2	6.1	Botto	om	8.96	1.84	1.51	2.15	2.15	1.8	1.8	1.84
Inner bottom	7	5.9	5.9	-	-	6.5	6	5.9	Inner	r bottom	7.84	1.72	1.45	-	-	1.75	1.75	1.72
Inner side shell	7	5.9	5.9	-	-	-	-	5.9	Inner	r side shell	5.29	1.72	1.46	-	-	-	-	1.72
Side shell upper	10	6.5	6.5	7.5	7.1	7.4	7.2	6.5	Side	shell upper	11.34	0.95	0.9	1.75	1.7	1.7	1.65	1.1
Side shell lower	10	6.5	6.5	7.5	7.5	7.2	7.2	6.5	Side	shell lower	11.34	1.9	1.62	2.27	2.25	2	2	1.9
Stringer plate	8	5.6	5.6	6.4	6.1	6.4	6.2	5	String	ger plate	8.96	0.04	0.04	0.9	0.8	0.85	0.8	0.01
Hatch coaming	16	6	6	7.5	7.1	7.5	7.3	-	Hate	h coaming	12.75	0.01	0.01	0.9	0.8	0.85	0.8	<u> </u>
	Rule							e secti	section modulus (cm ³)									
			Ordinary stiffeners	Actual modul	l section us(cm ³) D C)ouble hul)pen deck	Double h with torsi	ull ion Single	e hull	Single hu with torsion bo	ll Single side	Single side with torsion box	Double hull closed deck	ŀ				
			Bottom	74	4.08	34.73	34.58	53.	.95	51.78	44.7	44.1	33.54	1				
			Inner bottom	71	1.33	28.72	28.98	-	_	-	37.9	37	29.26					
			Inner side shel	1 57	7.38	26.83	24.05	-	_	-	-	-	26.83	1				
			Side shell upp	er 14	9.69	16.9	13.83	36.	.14	31.5	36	31.1	16.89	1				
			Side shell low	er 13	6.21	29.66	26.36	43	3.5	42	40	37	29.66	1				
			Stringer plate	84	4.91	0.37	0.35	1.	.1	1	1.1	1	0.5	1				
			Hatch coaming	g 14	9.05	0.3	0.3	1.	.1	1	1.1	1	-	1				

RAHMAN Sohanur, 8th EMship Cycle: 2017-2019